## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the
Eastern District of Michigan

	Eastern District	of Michigan
United States of A  v.  MICHAEL JOHN  Defendant		Case No. 19-30345
0	RDER OF DETENTION	ON PENDING TRIAL
	Part I - Eligibilit	y for Detention
Upon the		
	• •	to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or otion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),
_		warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fac addition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - I	Findings of Fact and Law a	s to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
	on or combination of condition	3142(e)(2) (previous violator): There is a rebuttable ons will reasonably assure the safety of any other person we been met:
(1) the defendant is c	harged with one of the follo	wing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
<b>—</b>		S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. m of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
(b) an offense f	or which the maximum sente	ence is life imprisonment or death; or
Controlled Subs	stances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 80	f imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the 11-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of described in sub-	of this paragraph, or two or r	icted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs nore State or local offenses that would have been offenses of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal S such offenses; <b>or</b>
(i) a minor victi		of violence but involves: rearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921) ailure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; <i>and</i>
(2) the defendant has	previously been convicted of	of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C.

(3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; *and* 

to Federal jurisdiction had existed; and

§ 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise

(4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
(1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
(2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
(3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
(4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
(5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above.  OR
The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the
presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing,
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ■ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ■ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong  Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ☑ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ☑ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ☑ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ☑ Prior criminal history ☑ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention  After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:  ✓ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.  ✓ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.  In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:  ✓ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong ✓ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted ✓ Prior criminal history ✓ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision ✓ History of violence or use of weapons ✓ History of alcohol or substance abuse ✓ Lack of stable employment

	Significant family or other ties outside the United States
	Lack of legal status in the United States
	Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
Į.	Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
	Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
	Use of alias(es) or false documents
	Background information unknown or unverified
	Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

## OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

Michael Johnson is charged in a criminal complaint with possession with intent to distribute 50 grams or more of methamphetamine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. 841(a), and two gun crimes, felon in possession of a firearm and possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime, in violation of 18 U.S.C. 922(g) and 924(c), respectively. Because the government proffered ample probable cause for these offenses, including admissions by Johnson, a rebuttable presumption of detention applies. While Johnson failed to rebut the presumption, the government nevertheless met its burden for detention, both as to Johnson's risk of non-appearance and dangerouness to the community.

The nature and circumstances of the instant charges favor detention as they involve Johnson's alleged possession of four loaded firearms (one of which was an assault rifle) in his home, along with about two ounces of crystal methamphetamine. The evidence of Johnson's risk of non-appearance and dangerousness to the community is strong. He failed to appear at two prior sentencings, and has been convicted for breaking and entering, and multiple weapons offenses, the most serious of which involved his pointing a gun at five victims while robbing them, and threatening to shoot them if they tried to flee. The latter crime resulted in Johnson serving about nine years in prison. Johnson violated a probationary sentence in 2011, but he did successfully complete parole after his nine-year sentence. However, the government has proffered evidence (controlled buys) that around the same time, Johnson was involved in narcotics trafficking. While Johnson does have family support, that factor is outweighed by the others discussed above. Finally, particularly given Johnson's past, his possession of loaded firearms in the vicinity of narcotics for sale creates a substantial danger. For all of these reasons, detention is ordered.

## Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: June 24, 2019

Judge's Signature

David R. Grand, United States Magistrate Judge

Name and Title